

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,270	02/18/2000	Edward Ellis Eibling	07007.00013	8023
30594	7590 10/24/2003 EXAMINER			
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			DEPPE, BETSY LEE	
P.O. BOX 891 RESTON, VA	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2634	5
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		<u>,</u>				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/507,270	EIBLING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Betsy L. Deppe	2634 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	August 2003 .					
`_	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14 and 16-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Detect and Trade and Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/507,270

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the response filed on August 18, 2003.

Specification

2. The Examiner suggests including the equations recited in claims 14, 16 and 18 with the respective examples in the detailed description for clarification.

Claim Objections

3. The claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 3, lines 8 and 12, "full capacity" should be "full scale range" in order to be consistent with the language in claim 1, line 7;

in claim 11, lines 6, 8, and 10, the Examiner suggests ", computing" to "to compute" for clarification;

in claim 14, line 2, "components" should be "component";

in claim 18, line 5, ""g" should be "f" since claim 11, lines 10-11 define "f" as the "closest analog gain reduction"; and

in claim 19, line 2, "components" should be "component" Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/507,270

Art Unit: 2634

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosure does not describe scaling a signal to the "full scale range" of a digital-to-analog converter as recited in claim 1, lines 6-8; claim 3, lines 8-10 and 12-13; and claim 6, lines 6-7. According to page 7, lines 3-4 and page 9, lines 8-15, a signal is scaled by a digital gain such that the *peak value* is represented by the maximum input of the digital-to-analog converter. However, the claim language implies that the signal is *always* scaled to the maximum input (i.e. full scale range) of the digital-to-analog converter in which case the input bits of the digital-to-analog converter are always "on." It is unclear how to make and/or use a transmitter with a controller that provides a digital gain such that the input bits of the digital-to-analog converter are always "on."

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 11-14 and 16-20 are allowable.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Application/Control Number: 09/507,270

Art Unit: 2634

4960. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Friday (8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Betsy L. Deppe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2634 October 14, 2003